## TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

| 2. Project Name: Bilger Creek Spur Resurface  | 3. County: Douglas                  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4. Project Sponsor: Mel Grubbs/Bruce Sconce   | 5. Date: June 11, 2001              |  |  |  |
| 6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 863-4987/(5  |                                     |  |  |  |
| 7. Sponsors E-mail: melvin grubbs@or.blm.gov  | ,                                   |  |  |  |
|   |                                     |  |  |  |
| 8. Project Location (attach project area map)   |                                     |  |  |  |
| a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):  |                                     |  |  |  |
| b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):  |                                     |  |  |  |
| c. Legal Location: Township Range Section(s) (See map for more details)   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Township Range Se   | ction(s)                            |  |  |  |
| Township Range Se   | ction(s)                            |  |  |  |
| Township Range Se   | ction(s)                            |  |  |  |
| Description: road # 29-5-2.0  |                                     |  |  |  |
|   | BLM Resource Area South River       |  |  |  |
| f. National Forest g. 1   | Forest Service District             |  |  |  |
| h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes  | No                                  |  |  |  |
|   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Without resurfacing it can no longer sustain winter traffic and has been closed. Preventing both the BLM and public from accessing accesses a quarry site. If the road were rocked, the quarry could be reopened for year-round access. |                                     |  |  |  |
| <b>10. Project Description:</b> (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Haul, place, and compact approximately 547 yds. of 1 ½" quarry rock – 4" depth, 12 ft. wide, 0.7 miles to resurface and stabilize road.        |                                     |  |  |  |
| 11 Coordination of this musicat with other nol  | atad musicat(a) an adia aant landa? |  |  |  |
| 11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?   |                                     |  |  |  |
|   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Maintaining this road surface would allow year round access to the rock quarry.   |                                     |  |  |  |
|   |                                     |  |  |  |
| 12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]   |                                     |  |  |  |

### TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

| <b>13. Project Type</b> (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  | Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  | Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]   | Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]  Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]  Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Project Type (specify) [sec. 2(b)(2)].  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expec  | ted Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Total Acres:   | b. Total Miles: 0.7  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. No. Structures:  | d. Est. People Reached   |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. No. Laborer Days:  | (for environmental education projects):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f. Other (specify):   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2(b)(3)] The public would once again have year-round to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area.</li> <li>19. How does project benefit federal lands/resould have access to this area and to the public would have access to this area.</li> </ul> | t? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] <b>Identify benefits to communities</b> the rock quarry within it. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Status of Project Planning  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. NEPA Complete:   | ⊠ Yes  □ No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If no, give est. date of completion:  | <u>                                    </u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:   | ☐ Yes  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:  | Yes No   |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete:  | Yes No Not Applicab  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:   | Yes No Not Applicab  |  |  |  |  |  |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>i. Project Design(s) Completed:</li> <li>* DSL = Dept of State Lands ODFW = Oregon Dept of Fis</li> </ul>  | sh and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO =                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

# TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

| 21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment  |                                     |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| ⊠ Contract  |                                     |  |  |
| County Workforce  | Volunteers                          |  |  |
| Other (specify):  |                                     |  |  |
| 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Man  | terials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]           |  |  |
| 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]  |                                     |  |  |
| . Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 25,100                                      |                                     |  |  |
| b. Is this a multi-year funding request? \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{No} \) | If yes, then display by fiscal year |  |  |
| c. FY02 Request:  | f. FY05 Request:                    |  |  |
| d. FY03 Request:  | g. FY06 Request:                    |  |  |
| e. FY04 Request:  |                                     |  |  |

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis** 

| Item                               | Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column D Total Available Funds |
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys      |   |  |   |                                |
| 25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation |   |  |   |                                |
| 26. Permit Acquisition             |   |  |   |                                |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering   |   |  |   |                                |
| 28. Contract Preparation           |   | \$1,000.00   |   |                                |
| 29. Contract Administration        |   | \$1,000.00   |   |                                |
| 30. Contract Cost                  |   | \$25,000.00  |   |                                |
| 31. Workforce Cost                 |   |  |   |                                |
| 32. Materials & Supplies           |   |  |   |                                |
| 33. Monitoring                     |   |  |   |                                |
| 34. Other                          |   |  |   |                                |
| 35. Project Sub-Total              |   |  |   |                                |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead)      |   |  |   |                                |
| (per year for multi-year projects) |   |  |   |                                |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate            |   | \$27,000.00  |   |                                |

### TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

| <b>38.</b> Id | lentify | Source(s) | of Other | Funding : | for Pro | ject Iden | itified Abov | e [Sec. | 203(b)(4)] |
|---------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|------------|
|---------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|------------|

| 39. N | Ionitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]   |
|-------|--|
| a.    | What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?  Making a measure of ecological condition would not be practical. Therefore we would report that we completed the project.  |
| b.    | How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?  The Contracting Officer's Representative would be responsible for reporting the number of contractor days worked and the amount of rock purchased from local quarries. |
| c.    | What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? N/A   |
| d.    | Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):  No additional funds would be needed.  |